

John and Katharine Blevins
1525 Overlook Dr.
Saint Leonard, MD, 20685
410-541-9467
ccpseducation101.com

January 18, 2021

Daniel D. Curry, de facto Records Custodian and soon-to-be former Superintendent
Calvert County Public Schools
1305 Dares Beach Road
Prince Frederick, MD 20678

Daniel D. Curry:

We received "Ms. Maxey's" estimates for our 1/04 and 1/06 PIA requests, and response to our 1/10 PIA request.

- 1/04 – Request for 128 invoices, 21 copies of payments/checks/vouchers and 22 POs for Marathon Technology Solutions; also, 3 invoices and 4 copies of payments/checks/vouchers for G Technologies. There was no need to request G Technologies POs, as we finally received them from School Safety Specialist Larry Titus on 7/08, after you lied in Phase 1 of our PIA suit and said none exist. The estimate of 36 hours, at \$45.50/hr., for a total of \$1547 (2 hours waived) with a deposit of \$750, is ludicrous.
 - o In all likelihood these records total 178 pages. If your estimate is correct, that means someone in Finance would have to spend nearly their whole workweek and can produce a little less than 5 pages per hour. Before 2 hours are waived the total cost is supposedly \$1638. That means each page supposedly requires ~\$9.20 to produce.
 - o The IDIQ (Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity) bid for this surveillance installation was rigged for now-defunct G Technologies and I think it's the only IDIQ bid CCPS has ever done. IDIQ bids are difficult - if not impossible - to contest. Former G Technologies Proprietor Andrew Gerber was in his mid-twenties when he pled guilty to molesting a child or children under the age of 12. Why was he allowed to tour schools like other prospective bidders? G Technologies' bid was heavy on paperwork volume, but at ~\$35k it was laughably underbudgeted compared to the other, more responsible, bids. The other bidders wasted their time; CCPS never intended to consider their bids and only used them to give the appearance of an honest and fair bid process.
 - o It was pointed out to us a while back that the Board meeting video of the 5/24/18 contract transfer from G Technologies to Marathon is no longer missing, after we informed you on 6/18/20 it was. It's good the missing footage has been replaced, as if it was an honest mistake, but there is something (probably a lot) you're trying to hide about that bid. What did G Technologies do for the ~\$145k they were actually paid? Why were they permitted to select CCPS' replacement contractor? CCPS' Supervisor of School Construction Mr. Barricklow said on 4/12/18 the installations would be "up and running" by the end of April 2018. Why was Marathon Technology Solutions entered as a vendor into the system on 4/23/18? Did our 5/10/18 records request for surveillance documents alert you to problems

with G Technologies' installation, which the Board voted to transfer on 5/24/18? Why has Marathon been paid around \$1 million since then? How did the IDIQ burgeon roughly 30 times, or more?

- 1/06 – Request for 119 invoices, 67 payments/checks/vouchers and 45 POs for JSAND Network Solutions. The estimate of 43 hours, at \$45.50/hr., for a total of \$1865.50 (2 hours waived) with a deposit of \$1000, is ludicrous.
 - o In all likelihood these records total 186 pages. If your estimate is correct, that means someone in Finance would have to spend over one whole workweek to produce a little over 4 pages per hour. Before 2 hours are waived the total cost is supposedly \$1956.50. That means each page supposedly requires ~\$10.52 to produce.

The products for the preceding two requests will total a mere ~357 pages, yet you estimate they will cost \$3,412.50. On May 10, 2018 we submitted a large PIA request for 18 different sets of financial records, some of which we were provided in July of 2018, for an outrageous total cost of \$3137, which of course was intended to limit our rightful access to records. We received only partial product - a flash drive with spreadsheets and approximately 2,600 pages of paper, later suing to receive many of the other records. I have already pointed out to you many of the records we have been requesting since 5/17/20 should be easy to produce in batch, as we are going vendor by vendor and eFinance is comprehensive and easy to use. If you hadn't lied in Phase 1 about the existence of funds for unrestricted expenditures held separate from the General Fund, hardly any time should or would be required to produce and print/save the various records we have been requesting since 5/17/20.

- 1/11 - eFinance Detail Expenditure Status and Detail Revenue Status reports for Pd. 1 of FY15 through the date the reports are run, to include all 13th month accounting periods. "Selection criteria should be All-no limiting selection criteria-All funds/categories/areas/accounts/functions/programs/accounting units should be included."
 - o After only three days, on 1/14, we received *for free* all 170 of the reports requested, via email. The 85 Detail Revenue Status reports are each about 200 pages and the 85 Detail Expenditure Status reports are each around 20 pages, for a product total of ~19,000 pages.
 - o However, there are some problems with what we received. The Detail Expenditure Status reports include "Fund 7" for FYs 15 and 16, but not for FYs 17 through the present. On the other hand, the Detail Revenue Status reports include "Fund 7" from FY15 through the present. Very few transactions are listed in the "Fund 7" reports we did receive. As the reports were run for pages to break by fund, were funds removed from the bottom of the reports? Why was it possible to provide 170 reports in such a brief period of time, for free, but you have yet to provide reasonable estimates and/or products to nearly every other request we have made, including for far smaller requests?

Where is the Fund 7 from which you expend so many millions? Are any financial activities run off-the-books or through another organization/system? Why did you lie about the existence of any funds held separate in Phase 1? Is part of the reason to withhold fund ledgers from wherever they exist because you don't want us to receive further documentation on prescription coverage expenditures? We still don't understand why CCPS pays ~\$185 or more per person, per month, for around 5,000 people, for redundant prescription coverage CCPS staff does not need. Why is much of that coverage paid from a hidden fund or funds? How many members of staff even know why they have this double coverage?

Plenty of staff still use their BCBS coverage and have no idea what the Express Scripts cards in their wallets are for. Are they *and* their families covered? For example, a teacher, their spouse and kids might all be fully covered for prescriptions by BCBS. Would the same family *all* be covered by Express Scripts, too? Or are only CCPS staff covered, but not their families? Is this a ruse to provide prescription coverage to people CCPS should not be covering, while giving the appearance of being for CCPS staff and their families? The rolls/lists of members we requested on 10/07 would help clear up that and other confusion, but you responded on 10/16 they are exempt from disclosure, which makes no sense.

Paradoxically, former St. Leonard Elementary master teacher Jennifer Hudson was unceremoniously dumped from CCPS' insurance rolls without prompt COBRA or other notification and nearly terminated for "incompetence," before being granted disability retirement, after she was hit in the temple by a student who received no consequences. You forced her to return to work against doctors' orders and even tortured her at the Central Office, causing her brain damage to spread and cement. Although she was guaranteed Worker's Comp prescription coverage you and MABE have regularly interfered with access to her medications, in violation of the order. So, while she went without what she needed (because you're an evil, misogynistic sadist), you made sure everyone else was covered twofold. Why does CCPS pay for Express Scripts prescription coverage for the equivalent of around 5.5% of the County's population, when CCPS staff and retirees already have it and don't need more? You don't think staff would rather you use that money to comply with long-unmet pay increase commitments? Calvert County, like so many places, has been badly impacted by the opioid epidemic. Might this duplicate mail-away prescription coverage contribute in any way? For anyone with a prescription pill problem, do two different sets of coverage facilitate doctor shopping? Worse, are there any pill mills around here? Might there be any local doctors who have been getting away with sexually abusing drug-addicted patients, knowing they are ashamed, dependent and will keep coming back? We have all heard horror stories of doctors prescribing outrageous amounts of pills for nothing more than financial or other gain. Does the same thing happen in Calvert County? Maybe you should ask the Sheriff to investigate, because something's going on and you're the one in charge.

We want these records, and nearly everything we have requested should be easy to produce in batch/group/mindless clicking form. When will you start allowing CCPS' Records Custodian (and, any staff who help her) to comply with the PIA?

www.calvertedudocs.com has been updated.

Sincerely,



John Blevins



Katharine Blevins

Cc: Ms. Karen Maxey, Assistant to the Board and Records Custodian
Mr. Cary Hansel, Hansel Law
Mr. Dick Myers, the Calvert County Times
Ms. Inez Claggett, not complicit BoE member
Ms. Pamela Cousins, not complicit BoE member

Mr. Patrick Nutter, BoE member
Ms. Dawn Balinski, complicit BoE member
Mr. Antoine White, BoE member
Ms. Diane Workman, Assistant Superintendent
Mr. Anthony Navarro, Executive Director of Administration
Mr. Larry Titus, Community Resources & School Safety Specialist
Mr. Kelly McConkey, President, Calvert County Commission
Mr. Tim Hutchins, Calvert County Commissioner
Mr. Mike Hart, Calvert County Commissioner
Mr. Earl Hance, Calvert County Commissioner
Mr. Steve Weems, Calvert County Commissioner
Mr. Michael Evans, Calvert County Sheriff